This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- , BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

					\ / / \
APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/997,389	11/29/2001		Richard S. Polevoy	2340-1-017	y5557
23565	7590	I I/21/2003		EXAM	INER
KLAUBER	& JACK	SON	CONLEY, FREDRICK C		
411 HACKE	NSACK A	AVENUE			
HACKENSA	CK, NJ	07601		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3673	

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applic	ant(s)					
		09/997,389	POLEV	OY ET AL.					
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Un	it					
		Fredrick C Conley	3673	// /					
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the correspo	ndence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	August 2003 .							
2a)⊠									
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from considera	ation.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) 9-13 is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,8 and 15-17</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠	Claim(s) 6,7,14 and 18 is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) cocented or h) objected to by the Eyeminer									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13)	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
а	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:									

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/997,389

Art Unit: 3673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 5,867,853 to Feld in view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,109,887 to Wakeland.

In reference to claim 1, Feld discloses a snap on protective member 20 adapted to be affixed to a bed frame 10 component having exterior edges, said snap on member comprising an elongated member having outside edges, each of said outside edges having a lip adapted to fit around an exterior edge of the bed frame component (fig 2a,2b) wherein the elongated member intermediate aid outside edges is slightly bowed away 27 from the bed frame component. Feld fails to disclose a projection formed in said elongated member adjacent to said lip. Wakeland discloses a protective member adapted to be affixed to a bed frame having a plurality of ribs/projections 101. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the plurality of ribs in order to create a frictional fit between the member and the bed frame.

Regarding claim 2, wherein said lip is curved (fig. 2a,2b).

Regarding claim 3, wherein said elongate member includes a plurality of ribs 101 extending outwardly from the elongate member to locate an external surface of the elongate member at a predetermined distance away from said component (Wakeland).

Regarding claim 4, wherein said plurality of ribs 101 are adapted to contact said bed frame component to position an external surface a predetermined distance away from said component (Wakeland).

Regarding claim 5, wherein said elongated member is generally L-shaped in cross section so as to be affixed to a side rail of a bed frame (Feld).

In reference to claim 15, Feld discloses a method of protecting a bed frame having exterior edges, said method comprising the steps of:

providing an elongate member 20 having outside edges formed as curved lips (fig. 2a,2b)

snap fitting the elongate member onto the bed frame 10 component by forcing the curved lips to at least partially surround the exterior edges of the bed frame component to sandwich the exterior edges of the bed frame component between the curved lips. Feld fails to discloses a projection formed in said elongated member adjacent to said lip. Wakeland discloses a protective member adapted to be affixed to a bed frame having a plurality of ribs/projections 101. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ the plurality of ribs in order to create a frictional fit between the member and the bed frame.

Application/Control Number: 09/997,389 Page 4

Art Unit: 3673

Claims 8 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 5,867,853 to Feld in view of U.S. Pat. No. 4,109,887 to Wakeland, and further in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,076,212 to Feld.

In reference to claims 8 and 16, Feld '853 discloses all of the Applicant's claimed limitations except for the elongate member comprising an extruded polyethylene. Feld '212 discloses an elongate member comprising an extruded polyethylene (col. 8 lines 34-38).

Regarding claim 17, wherein said step for providing an elongated member comprises providing a member having a generally L-shaped cross section (fig. 2a,2b)(Feld).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-13 are allowed.

Claims 6-7, 14, and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims1-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

DEDIT AVAILABLE COPY

Application/Control Number: 09/997,389

Art Unit: 3673

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fredrick C Conley whose telephone number is 308-7468. The examiner can normally be reached on m-th m-fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on 308-2978. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 305-7687 for regular communications and 3057687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-2168.

November 14, 2003

TERI PHAM LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER